

**REDUCTS
IN
INCOMPLETE
INFORMATION SYSTEMS**

Zbigniew Ras



Information Systems

$S = (X, AT)$ is an information system, where

- X - objects,
- AT -attributes (partial functions from X into $2^{V_a} \cup \{*\}$),
- V_a - set of values of attribute a .

Example 1:

$S = (\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}, \{\text{Price, Mileage, Size, Accident}\})$ defined below:

Car	Price	Mileage	Size	Accident
1	{high}	{high}	{full}	{doors, engine}
2	{low}	*	{full, compact}	{engine}
3	*	*	{compact}	{doors}
4	{high}	*	{full}	{doors}
5	*	*	{full}	{doors}
6	{low}	{high}	{full}	*

- Let $A \subseteq AT$. By similarity relation based on A we mean:

$$\text{SIM}(A) = \{(x,y) \in X \times X : (\forall a \in A)[a(x) \cap a(y) \neq \emptyset \text{ or } a(x) = * \text{ or } a(y) = *]\}.$$

$\text{SIM}(A)$ is a **tolerance relation** (reflexive, symmetric).

- Let $I_A(x) = \{y \in X : (x,y) \in \text{SIM}(A)\}$ - *tolerance class for x* with regard to A .

$X/\text{SIM}(A) = \{I_A(x) : x \in X\}$ – not a partition of X in general.

Definition:

$A \subseteq AT$ is a reduct of information system $S = (X, AT)$ iff

- $SIM(A) = SIM(AT)$ and
- $(\forall B \subset A)[SIM(B) \neq SIM(A)]$.

$A \subseteq AT$ is a reduct of information system $S=(X, AT)$ for x iff

- $I_A(x) = I_{AT}(x)$ and
- $(\forall B \subset A)[I_B(x) \neq I_A(x)]$.

Car	Price	Mileage	Size	Accident
1	{high}	{high}	{full}	{doors, engine}
2	{low}	*	{full, compact}	{engine}
3	*	*	{compact}	{doors}
4	{high}	*	{full}	{doors}
5	*	*	{full}	{doors}
6	{low}	{high}	{full}	*

Removing M will
not change
discernibility matrix

Reduct={P, S, A} ??

x/y	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	-					
2	P	-				
3	S	A	-			
4	NIL	P, A	S	-		
5	NIL	A	S	NIL	-	
6	P	NIL	S	P	NIL	-

Decision Systems

$S = (X, AT \cup \{d\})$ decision system, where

X - objects,

AT - classification attributes,

d - decision attribute,

where $d(x) \in V_d$ (value is certain).

Car	Price	Size	Accident	d
1	{high}	{full}	{doors, engine}	good
2	{low}	{full, compact}	{engine}	good
3	*	{compact}	{doors}	poor
4	{high}	{full}	{doors}	good
5	*	{full}	{doors}	excel
6	{low}	{full}	*	good

$T(1) = \{1, 4, 5\}$

$T(2) = \{2, 6\}$

$T(3) = \{3\}$

$T(4) = \{4, 1, 5\}$

$T(5) = \{5, 1, 4, 6\}$

$T(6) = \{6, 5, 2\}$

System S has to be consistent!



Car	Price	Size	Accident	d
1	{high}	{full}	{doors, engine}	good
2	{low}	{full, compact}	{engine}	good
3	*	{compact}	{doors}	poor
4	{high}	{full}	{doors}	good
5	*	{full}	{doors}	excel
6	{low}	{full}	*	good

$T(1)=\{1,4,5\}$, $T(2)=\{2,6\}$, $T(3)=\{3\}$, $T(4)=\{4,1,5\}$,
 $T(5)=\{5,1,4,6\}$, $T(6)=\{6,5,2\}$

Car	Price	Size	Accident	d	δ_{AT}
1	{high}	{full}	{doors, engine}	good	{good, excel}
2	{low}	{full, compact}	{engine}	good	{good}
3	*	{compact}	{doors}	poor	{poor}
4	{high}	{full}	{doors}	good	{good, excel}
5	*	{full}	{doors}	excel	{good, excel}
6	{low}	{full}	*	good	{good, excel}

Example 2:

Decision System S with “*generalized decision*” as the extra feature.

Car	Price	Size	Accident	δ_{AT}
1	{high}	{full}	{doors, engine}	{good, excel}
2	{low}	{full, compact}	{engine}	{good}
3	*	{compact}	{doors}	{poor}
4	{high}	{full}	{doors}	{good, excel}
5	*	{full}	{doors}	{good, excel}
6	{low}	{full}	*	{good, excel}

Definition:

Set $A \subseteq AT$ is a reduct of S (relative reduct or d-reduct), iff
 $\delta_A = \delta_{AT}$ and $(\forall B \subseteq A)[\delta_B \neq \delta_A]$.

Set $A \subseteq AT$ is a reduct of S for $x \in X$ (relative reduct for x or d-reduct for x) iff
 $\delta_A(x) = \delta_{AT}(x)$ and $(\forall B \subseteq A)[\delta_B(x) \neq \delta_A(x)]$.

Computation of δ_{AT} -Reducts:

Discernibility table

x/y	1	2	3	4	5	6
1						
2	P					
3	S	A				
4	-	P, A	S			
5	-	A	S		-	
6	-	NIL	S	P	-	-

Car	Price	Size	Accident	δ_{AT}
1	{high}	{full}	{doors, engine}	{good, excel}
2	{low}	{full, compact}	{engine}	{good}
3	*	{compact}	{doors}	{poor}
4	{high}	{full}	{doors}	{good, excel}
5	*	{full}	{doors}	{good, excel}
6	{low}	{full}	*	{good, excel}

Discernibility Function:

$$F(P,S,A) = P \wedge S \wedge A \wedge (P \vee A) \wedge \text{NIL} = \text{NIL}$$

Reducts for objects: $F(1)=P \wedge S$, $F(2)=\text{NIL}$, $F(3)=S \wedge A$, $F(4)=(P \vee A) \wedge S \wedge P$, $F(5)=S \wedge A$, $F(6)=\text{NIL}$.

Car	Price	Size	Accident	δ_{AT}
1	{high}	{full}	{doors, engine}	{good, excel}
2	{low}	{full, compact}	{engine}	{good}
3	*	{compact}	{doors}	{poor}
4	{high}	{full}	{doors}	{good, excel}
5	*	{full}	{doors}	{good, excel}
6	{low}	{full}	*	{good, excel}

Reducts for objects:

$F(1)=P \wedge S$, $F(2)=NIL$, $F(3)=S \wedge A$, $F(4)=(P \vee A) \wedge S \wedge P = P \wedge S$, $F(5)=S \wedge A$, $F(6)=NIL$.

Rules:

(Price, high) \wedge (Size, full) \rightarrow good \vee excel sup = 2

(Size, compact) \wedge (Accident, doors) \rightarrow poor sup = 1

(Price, high) \wedge (Size, full) \rightarrow good \vee excel

(Size, full) \wedge (Accident, doors) \rightarrow good \vee excel sup = 1

Thank You !

