

HW Assignment 4, Theory

Problems marked with a (*) are mandatory for ITCS 8156 students. Bonus problems are optional, solving them will result in extra points.

1 Positive Definite Matrices (20 points)

A matrix $A \in R^{N \times N}$ is called positive semidefinite if $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} \geq 0$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in R^{N \times 1}$. Using this definition, prove the following two properties:

1. (10 points) Show that a diagonal matrix \mathbf{A} whose elements satisfy $A_{ii} \geq 0$ is positive semidefinite.
2. (10 points) Show that the sum of two positive semidefinite matrices is itself positive semidefinite.

2 Kernel Techniques (50 points)

In this section, you are asked to use the definition of kernels to prove that a given function of two samples x and y is a valid kernel.

1. (Normalized Kernel, 30 points) Let $K : X \times X \rightarrow R$ be a kernel function defined over a sample space X .
 - (a) (20 points) Prove that the function below (a *normalized kernel*) is a valid kernel.

$$K'(x, y) = \frac{K(x, y)}{\sqrt{K(x, x)K(y, y)}}$$

- (b) (10 points) Why it would not make sense to normalize a Gaussian kernel?
 - (c) (10 bonus points) Which types of input data would benefit from normalizing the kernel function? Explain why, and provide real world examples.
2. (Product Kernel, 20 points) Show that if $K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and $K_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ are valid kernel functions, then $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})K_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is also a valid kernel.
 3. (Rotation Kernel, 20 bonus points) Using the definition of valid kernels, show that if A is a symmetric and positive semidefinite matrix, then $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{y}$ is a valid kernel.

Hint: Inderjit Dhillon's Linear Algebra Background describes some useful properties of symmetric positive semidefinite matrices.

3 Support Vector Machines (*), (40 points)

In this section, you are asked to prove basic properties for the separable case (1) and non-separable case (2) of the SVM optimization objective.

1. (20 points) Consider the constrained optimization SVM problem for the separable case shown on slide 7. Show that, if the 1 on the right-hand side of the inequality constraint is replaced by some arbitrary constant $\gamma > 0$, the resulting maximum margin hyperplane is unchanged.

Hint: Let (\mathbf{w}, b) be the solution for the original SVM problem where 1 is used, and (\mathbf{w}', b') the solution for when γ is used. Show that the hyperplane $\{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{w}'^T \mathbf{x} + b' = 0\}$ is the same as the hyperplane $\{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b = 0\}$.

2. (20 points) Prove that the sum of slacks $\sum \xi_n$ from the objective function of the SVM formulation with soft margin is an upper bound on the number of misclassified training examples.

4 Large Margin Perceptron (*), (60 points)

Let \mathbf{u} be a current current vector of parameters and \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} two training examples such that $\mathbf{u}^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) < 1$. Use the technique of Lagrange multipliers to find a new vector of parameters \mathbf{w} as the solution to the convex optimization problem below:

minimize:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}\|^2$$

subject to:

$$\mathbf{w}^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \geq 1$$

5 Submission

Submit your responses on Canvas as one file named `theory.pdf`. It is recommended to use an editor such as Latex or Word or Jupyter-Notebook that allows editing and proper formatting of equations. Alternatively, if you choose to write your solutions on paper, submit an electronic scan / photo of it on Canvas. Make sure that your writing is legible and the scan has good quality.