

Name : _____

ID : _____

Show the details of your work !!

1. Suppose that S is a random variable which is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and whose probability density function is

$$G(S) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}bS} e^{-[\ln(S/a)+b^2/2]^2/2b^2},$$

a and b being positive numbers. **Show**

- (a) for any real number n

$$\int_c^\infty S^n G(S) dS = a^n e^{(n^2-n)b^2/2} N\left(-\frac{\ln(c/a)+b^2/2}{b} + nb\right),$$

where

$$N(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^z e^{-\xi^2/2} d\xi;$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^c \ln S G(S) dS \\ &= \frac{-b}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-[\ln(c/a)+b^2/2]^2/2b^2} + (\ln a - b^2/2) N\left(\frac{\ln(c/a)+b^2/2}{b}\right). \end{aligned}$$

2. (a) Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial B_c}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 B_c}{\partial S^2} + (r - D_0)S \frac{\partial B_c}{\partial S} - rB_c = 0, & 0 \leq S, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ B_c(S, T) = \max(Z, nS), & 0 \leq S, \end{cases}$$

where σ, r, D_0, Z , and n are constants. **Show** that if $D_0 \leq 0$, then

$$B_c(S, t) \geq \max(Ze^{-r(T-t)}, nS) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

(b) Suppose that $V(S, t)$ is the solution of the following PDE:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + a(S, t) \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + b(S, t) \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + c(S, t)V + d(S, t)\delta(t - t_i) = 0.$$

Find the relation between $V(S, t_i^+)$ and $V(S, t_i^-)$, and **describe** the financial meaning of this relation.

3. (a) Suppose $\sigma = \sigma(S, t)$, $r = r(t)$ and $D_0 = D_0(S, t)$. **Show** that the problem of pricing a put option can always be converted into a problem of pricing a call option.
- (b) Let the exercise price be E . Suppose that r , D_0 are constants and $\sigma = \sigma(S)$. Based the result in (a), **Show**

$$\begin{aligned} P(S, t; b, a, \sigma(S)) &= C(E^2/S, t; a, b, \sigma(S)) S/E, \\ C(S, t; a, b, \sigma(S)) &= P(E^2/S, t; b, a, \sigma(S)) S/E \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_{cf}(t; a, b, \sigma(S)) \cdot S_{pf}(t; b, a, \sigma(E^2/S)) = E^2.$$

Here the first, second and third parameters after the semicolon in P , C , S_{pf} and S_{cf} are the interest rate, the dividend yield and the volatility function, respectively.

Name : _____

ID : _____

Show the details of your work !!

4. Suppose that S is the price of a dividend-paying stock and satisfies

$$dS = \mu(S, t)Sdt + \sigma SdX_1, \quad 0 \leq S < \infty,$$

where dX_1 is a Wiener process and σ is another random variable. Let the dividend paid during the time period $[t, t+dt]$ be $D(S, t)dt$. Assume that for σ , the stochastic equation

$$d\sigma = p(\sigma, t)dt + q(\sigma, t)dX_2, \quad \sigma_l \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_u$$

holds. Here, $p(\sigma, t)$ and $q(\sigma, t)$ are differentiable functions and satisfy the reversion conditions. dX_2 is another Wiener process correlated with dX_1 , and the correlation coefficient between them is ρdt . For options on such a stock, **derive** directly the partial differential equation that contains only the unknown market price of risk for the volatility. Here “Directly” means “without using the general PDE for derivatives.” (Hint: Take a portfolio in the form $\Pi = \Delta_1 V_1 + \Delta_2 V_2 + S$, where V_1 and V_2 are two different options.)

5. As we know, when the LC problem of an American call option is formulated as a free-boundary problem, on the free boundary $S = S_f(t) \geq \max(E, rE/D_0)$, we need to require $C(S_f(t), t) = \max(S_f(t) - E, 0) = S_f(t) - E$ and $\frac{\partial C(S_f(t), t)}{\partial S} = 1$, where $C(S, t)$ and $\max(S - E, 0)$ are the solution of the free-boundary problem and the constraint. **Show** that if $C(S, t) \geq 0$ and $\frac{\partial C^2(S, t)}{\partial S^2} \geq 0$ for $S < S_f(t)$, then the solution of the free-boundary problem satisfies the LC condition

$$\min \left(-\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} - \mathbf{L}_S C, C - \max(S - E, 0) \right) = 0,$$

where

$$\mathbf{L}_S = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S^2} + (r - D_0)S \frac{\partial}{\partial S} - r,$$

that is, for $S \in [0, S_f(t))$, $C(S, t)$ truly is a solution of the LC problem.

6. (a) The price of a one-factor convertible bond paying no coupon is the solution of the following linear complementarity problem

$$\begin{cases} \min \left(-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - \mathbf{L}_s V, V(S, t) - nS \right) = 0, & 0 \leq S, 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ V(S, T) = \max(Z, nS) \geq nS, & 0 \leq S, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathbf{L}_s = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S^2} + (r - D_0) S \frac{\partial}{\partial S} - r$$

and n , Z , σ , r , and D_0 are positive constants. **Show**

$$V(S, t^*) - Ze^{-r(T-t^*)} \geq V(S, t^{**}) - Ze^{-r(T-t^{**})} \quad \text{if} \quad t^* \leq t^{**}.$$

(Hint: Define $\bar{V}(S, t) = V(S, t) - Ze^{-r(T-t)}$ and show $\bar{V}(S, t^*) \geq \bar{V}(S, t^{**})$ if $t^* \leq t^{**}$.)

- (b) Can you prove that $V(S, t^*) \geq V(S, t^{**})$ for $t^* \leq t^{**}$ by using the method used in (a)? **If your answer is “Yes”, give a proof; otherwise explain why you cannot.**
- (c) “A holder of a convertible bond at time t^* has “more rights” than a holder of a convertible bond at time t^{**} does if $t^* \leq t^{**}$, so the premium at t^* should be higher than the premium at t^{**} , i.e., the inequality $V(S, t^*) \geq V(S, t^{**})$ should hold for any $t^* \leq t^{**}$.” **Do you think that this statement is true and why?**